

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/764,195 01/23/2004 71044-006CIPN2 Charles K. Heizer 8068 **EXAMINER** 29493 7590 12/03/2004 HUSCH & EPPENBERGER, LLC TRIEU, THERESA 190 CARONDELET PLAZA ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 600** ST. LOUIS, MO 63105-3441

3748 DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		·10/764,195	HEIZER, CHARLES K.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Theresa Trieu	3748	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)[1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 September 2004</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 15-19 is/are rejected.			
Applicat	ion Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)⊠	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)				
2) 🔲 Notio 3) 🔲 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to the applicant's amendment filed on September 8, 2004.

Claims 1 and 15 have been amended. Overall, claims 1-20 are pending in this application.

Oath/Declaration

1. This application presents a claim for subject matter not originally claimed or embraced in the statement of the invention. Specifically, applicant has removed "a length less than twice the pitch of the helical thread" in claim 15; thus the scope of the claim is broader than the original claim. A supplemental oath or declaration is required under 37 CFR 1.67. The new oath or declaration must properly identify the application of which it is to form a part, preferably by application number and filing date in the body of the oath or declaration. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 15-19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 13-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,719,547. Although the

Art Unit: 3748

conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentable distinct from each other because the subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully discloses in the patent and is covered by

the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter as follow:

a housing having inlet and outlet ports;

a male rotor having a plurality threads and having a length, a cross section of the

Page 3

helical thread comprising a plurality of teeth each having a first arc angle with respect to

the axis and toothless sectors each having a second arc angle greater than the first arc

angle, tooth having a profile comprising a minor diameter arc and a tooth segment

radially extending to a major diameter arc in close tolerance with the housing; and

a female having a plurality of helical grooves and having a length approximately

equal to the male rotor, the female is rotatably mounted about a second axis and counter-

rotates with respect to the male rotor and has a periphery in close tolerance with the

housing; the helical threads and helical grooves form a buttress thread shape being

comprised of parallel straight diagonal lines and a pair of opposing lines.

Following the rationale in In re Goodman cited in the preceding paragraph, where applicant

has once been granted a patent containing a claim for the specific or narrower invention,

applicant may not then obtain a second patent with a claim for the generic or broader invention

without first submitting an appropriate terminal disclaimer. Note that since Application claims

15-19 are anticipated by Patent claims 13-17 and since anticipation is the epitome of

obviousness, then Application claims 15-19 is obvious over Patent claims 13-17.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-14 and 20 are allowed.

Art Unit: 3748

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Theresa Trieu whose telephone number is 571-272-4868. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am- 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas E Denion can be reached on 571-272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3748

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TT

Theresa Trieu
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3748